

Daily

Racing Form

VOL. XXI. NO. 293.

CHICAGO, SATURDAY, NOVEMBER 20, 1915.

SPECIAL EDITION

MORE MOISTURE AT BOWIE

HEAVY DOWNPOUR SPOILS THE GOING AND CAUSES PLENTIFUL SCRATCHING.

Water Lady Bests Sand Marsh in an Exciting Finish

—Shrapnel Scores in Another Close Contest—

Gossip of the Course.

Baltimore, Md., November 19.—Rain which fell copiously last night, made the going at Bowie this afternoon deep and heavy. This resulted in numerous withdrawals in all but the opening race, for which sixteen horses went to the post. The Eclipse Handicap, which was offered as a feature, brought out a smart field and incidentally furnished a splendid contest in which Water Lady came from last place to beat Sand Marsh by a half length in a driving finish. Sand Marsh showed the most speed in the going and led by a comfortable margin until in the last eighth, where he began to tire. Carbine was another that tired in the race after showing speed.

Walloon, one of the starters in the third race, a dash of one mile for maidens, broke down badly during the running. He was coming fast when entering the homestretch, where he showed in second place, only to quit suddenly. Forehand pulled him up and it was with some difficulty that he was led off the track.

In the opener Bob Redfield came from behind to beat Wayfarer by a scant length and in the second Shrapnel and Pan Handle fought it out through the last quarter. Shrapnel proved the winner of the two and won by a neck. Molly O. beat a bad lot of maidens in the third dash at one mile. Jacklet led by a long margin on the backstretch and while rounding the far turn, but tired badly in the final eighth.

Next Wednesday there will be a sale of horses in training, in the paddock, before the first race. Those that have already been named for the sale are: Neptunus, Maifou, Mamie K., Wayfarer, White Eye, Yodelles, Pay Streak, Pied Piper, Videl's Brother, Jackonet, Banjo Jim and Sherlock Holmes.

W. T. Anderson has decided to retire his string from the winter months and the horses will be shipped from Benning to Captain P. M. Walker's farm at Baye, Va. Mr. Anderson lost one horse in the Benning fire and the others were so badly injured that he does not hope to race anything again this fall.

J. W. Johnson, who recently purchased Luther and Ruth Strickland from H. G. Bedwell, will ship them to Hayana to race during the long winter meeting there.

J. W. Hedrick has sold the two-year-old Stellar to Godfrey Preece and his stallion Belamour to Sam Louis. It was reported that Double Five of the Hedrick string perished in the Benning fire, but the report was erroneous.

J. J. Mackessey has left for Warrenton, Va., where he will officiate as paddock judge for the last meeting there tomorrow. George W. G. Hall will officiate as clerk of the scales in place of Herman P. Conkling, whose duties at Bowie prevented his going. The starting will be done by Frank J. Bryan.

CURRENT NOTES OF THE TURF.

Roamer and Coquette are the most noted of the string of horses with which A. J. Goldsborough has arrived at Gravesend from Maryland to go into winter quarters.

R. Hoffman, who rode for James Butler during the latter part of the season now about to close, expects to ride as a free lance next season. He is now at Gravesend, where he expects to remain for the winter.

The veteran trainer, Matthew Allen, who has been training a few horses at Gravesend, parted with his last race horse when Grant Hugh Browne bought the four-year-old colt Magnet. Trainer Allen will go to Miami, Fla., for the winter.

Trainer Frank McCabe, who was highly successful in training the Dwyer Brothers' string when it was one of the most powerful on the American turf, is now living at Gravesend and is a frequent visitor at the track, but he has no horses in charge.

About one hundred and seventy-five horses quartered in stables at Benning, that were destroyed, were resired by stable boys and trainers. August Belmont's new stable was destroyed, but his horses had not been brought over from Pimlico. W. T. Anderson's Egmont also is believed to have been lost.

Owners at Benning suffering losses of stable equipment, but who saved their horses, include: "Fatty" Anderson, Robert J. Miller, eight horses, resired; Samuel Ross, twelve; Dr. J. S. Tyree, twenty-one; Mike Daly, twelve; "Dug" Carter, one; William Shields, six; William Garth, thirty; A. B. Spreckels, fourteen; Sand McNaughton, eight; Jack Phillips, eight; William P. Burch, eight; P. Sheridan, seven.

In England, as elsewhere, many prominent jockeys either failed to make the most of their opportunities when at the top of the tree, or else were unlucky in their investments. Bearing on this, an exchange says that one old-timer, who is in receipt of an allowance from the Bentinck Fund, is Charles Maud, who in his day was called the lucky jockey, and among many important races won the Derby twice, St. Leger twice and the One Thousand Guineas once each.

A case of some interest was tried in West Australia, when the supreme court was asked to set aside a disqualification for twelve months imposed on the owner-trainer of the horse First Loch, imposed by the stipendiary stewards of the Western Australia Racing Association. The hearing took the form of an application in chambers for an interim injunction to restrain the stewards from proceeding with the disqualification pending the trial of an action. The application was refused, with costs against the applicant.

On the opening day of the Adelaide meeting in Australia, non-commissioned officers and private soldiers in uniform were debarred from the grandstand enclosure. This old-time English idea of "encouraging" military spirit did not appeal to Australians, and the incident was, with good cause, productive of resentment. It was referred to the State Australian legislative assembly, and it appeared as if no one was anxious to accept responsibility in the matter. The embargo was withdrawn on the second day.—Sydney Referee.

LEXINGTON'S LAST CONSIDERABLE SALE.

The last sale of the year will be held at Lexington Tuesday, November 30, when about 100 head of stallions, mares, yearlings, weanlings and horses in training will be offered. One of the features of the sale is the initial consignment to be sold in this country of several English thoroughbreds. No doubt, if this venture realizes a fair return other English establishments will follow suit and send here for sale some of their choice mares, as well as young stock. Joseph R. Marquette, of New York, is one of the largest consignors to the sale, he having sixteen head of mares and horses in training in the catalog. John A. Dowdall also has a consignment of about the same number. As this is the last considerable sale of the year, buyers should make it a point to attend. Complete catalog of the sale may be had by addressing The Kentucky Sales, Co., Lexington.

CHANGES IN SELLING RACE PRACTICES.

Pimlico Method of Distributing Runup Money May Be Adopted.

[By Ed Cole.]

New York, November 19.—There is every reason to believe some changes will be made in selling race conditions on the eastern tracks the coming season. While it may not be a general ruling from the Jockey Club, the subject will certainly be discussed, and if plausible changes for the better are offered, it is probable they will be adopted. The fact that the Pimlico management added all surplus runup money to races of the future was struck a popular chord among horsemen, who hope there may be a general adoption of the Pimlico method.

Since the mutuels have been in operation the profits of the associations have increased considerably and to such an extent that runup money there is but an incident. Pimlico made no change in the rules governing selling races, but merely donated its share of the surplus to horsemen. The action caused favorable comment all along the line.

Pimlico was in a position to be generous, as it has a clear title to everything about the place with no indebtedness and good profits. Some of the other institutions in Maryland are not yet free. Laurel and Hayne de Grace have not yet cleared up everything in the way of debts and made a profit this year. Bowie is still under obligations, consequently little can be expected from that association until it is well established. But it will not be at all surprising to see the association's share of the runup money in the future at Laurel and Hayne de Grace returned to owners through the same channels as at Pimlico.

It is not probable there will be any decided change from past practices by the associations hereabouts, not because they are opposed to such a thing, but because the results from gate receipts upon which all have to depend, do not warrant it just at present.

Racing is just being built up in this locality from a never-to-be-forgotten wreckage, and while each year there has been an improvement, it has been an uphill task to gather even a small return for money invested. Last season at Belmont Park all purses were increased when the gate receipts amounted to a certain sum. This was appreciated, and next year there is every reason to believe some little addition will be made to purses. Again it may be Mr. Belmont will favorably consider the return of surplus runup money to horsemen. Time may make many changes, especially as the outlook for racing is so promising.

One thing is certain, it is not likely the Havana method will be adopted for the distribution of surplus runup money. According to the Brown rule, seventy-five per cent. of the surplus will be equally divided among the owners of horses represented in the race in which the runup occurs. The remaining twenty-five per cent. will go to the second horse.

The rule does not look bad at first glance, but upon supposition and possibilities it has bad qualities. In the first place, it could encourage men sending horses to the post in every selling race for the express purpose of getting a share of any runup money. To get this share some irresponsible person might be employed by one or two of the unprincipled owners to boost all winners of races in which they had starters. The runup would only need to be sufficient to take away part of the purse or just enough to warrant the owner retaining the horse. It would be possible for a person with a string of poor horses to pick off \$50 or more each day with little expense by having some outsider boost the winner of a selling race. If the rule were amended so as to confine the bidding to owners who had horses in the race it would do much to eliminate any sharp practice that might arise. By such a rule there would be a much greater opportunity for retaliation than if anyone were permitted to bid on horses. A clique of horsemen could almost break up a race meeting under the rule as it stands. They could make it so annoying that it would not be worth while to win races if the purses or part of them were continuously taken away. Of course, Mr. Brown only quickly took note of such a thing and would, no doubt, take his club to the offenders and drum them out of the track, but the rule would remain just the same unless revised. Mr. Brown means well by the rule, and it may pan out all right, but as it stands it has loopholes for profitable trickery which could be taken advantage of by unscrupulous horsemen, of which there are some, it is to be deplored, though it is pleasing to state they are decidedly in the minority.

They could make it so annoying that it would not be worth while to win races if the purses or part of them were continuously taken away. Of course, Mr. Brown only quickly took note of such a thing and would, no doubt, take his club to the offenders and drum them out of the track, but the rule would remain just the same unless revised. Mr. Brown means well by the rule, and it may pan out all right, but as it stands it has loopholes for profitable trickery which could be taken advantage of by unscrupulous horsemen, of which there are some, it is to be deplored, though it is pleasing to state they are decidedly in the minority.

One thing is certain, it is not likely the Havana method will be adopted for the distribution of surplus runup money. According to the Brown rule, seventy-five per cent. of the surplus will be equally divided among the owners of horses represented in the race in which the runup occurs. The remaining twenty-five per cent. will go to the second horse.

The rule does not look bad at first glance, but upon supposition and possibilities it has bad qualities. In the first place, it could encourage men sending horses to the post in every selling race for the express purpose of getting a share of any runup money. To get this share some irresponsible person might be employed by one or two of the unprincipled owners to boost all winners of races in which they had starters. The runup would only need to be sufficient to take away part of the purse or just enough to warrant the owner retaining the horse. It would be possible for a person with a string of poor horses to pick off \$50 or more each day with little expense by having some outsider boost the winner of a selling race. If the rule were amended so as to confine the bidding to owners who had horses in the race it would do much to eliminate any sharp practice that might arise. By such a rule there would be a much greater opportunity for retaliation than if anyone were permitted to bid on horses. A clique of horsemen could almost break up a race meeting under the rule as it stands. They could make it so annoying that it would not be worth while to win races if the purses or part of them were continuously taken away. Of course, Mr. Brown only quickly took note of such a thing and would, no doubt, take his club to the offenders and drum them out of the track, but the rule would remain just the same unless revised. Mr. Brown means well by the rule, and it may pan out all right, but as it stands it has loopholes for profitable trickery which could be taken advantage of by unscrupulous horsemen, of which there are some, it is to be deplored, though it is pleasing to state they are decidedly in the minority.

One thing is certain, it is not likely the Havana method will be adopted for the distribution of surplus runup money. According to the Brown rule, seventy-five per cent. of the surplus will be equally divided among the owners of horses represented in the race in which the runup occurs. The remaining twenty-five per cent. will go to the second horse.

The rule does not look bad at first glance, but upon supposition and possibilities it has bad qualities. In the first place, it could encourage men sending horses to the post in every selling race for the express purpose of getting a share of any runup money. To get this share some irresponsible person might be employed by one or two of the unprincipled owners to boost all winners of races in which they had starters. The runup would only need to be sufficient to take away part of the purse or just enough to warrant the owner retaining the horse. It would be possible for a person with a string of poor horses to pick off \$50 or more each day with little expense by having some outsider boost the winner of a selling race. If the rule were amended so as to confine the bidding to owners who had horses in the race it would do much to eliminate any sharp practice that might arise. By such a rule there would be a much greater opportunity for retaliation than if anyone were permitted to bid on horses. A clique of horsemen could almost break up a race meeting under the rule as it stands. They could make it so annoying that it would not be worth while to win races if the purses or part of them were continuously taken away. Of course, Mr. Brown only quickly took note of such a thing and would, no doubt, take his club to the offenders and drum them out of the track, but the rule would remain just the same unless revised. Mr. Brown means well by the rule, and it may pan out all right, but as it stands it has loopholes for profitable trickery which could be taken advantage of by unscrupulous horsemen, of which there are some, it is to be deplored, though it is pleasing to state they are decidedly in the minority.

One thing is certain, it is not likely the Havana method will be adopted for the distribution of surplus runup money. According to the Brown rule, seventy-five per cent. of the surplus will be equally divided among the owners of horses represented in the race in which the runup occurs. The remaining twenty-five per cent. will go to the second horse.

The rule does not look bad at first glance, but upon supposition and possibilities it has bad qualities. In the first place, it could encourage men sending horses to the post in every selling race for the express purpose of getting a share of any runup money. To get this share some irresponsible person might be employed by one or two of the unprincipled owners to boost all winners of races in which they had starters. The runup would only need to be sufficient to take away part of the purse or just enough to warrant the owner retaining the horse. It would be possible for a person with a string of poor horses to pick off \$50 or more each day with little expense by having some outsider boost the winner of a selling race. If the rule were amended so as to confine the bidding to owners who had horses in the race it would do much to eliminate any sharp practice that might arise. By such a rule there would be a much greater opportunity for retaliation than if anyone were permitted to bid on horses. A clique of horsemen could almost break up a race meeting under the rule as it stands. They could make it so annoying that it would not be worth while to win races if the purses or part of them were continuously taken away. Of course, Mr. Brown only quickly took note of such a thing and would, no doubt, take his club to the offenders and drum them out of the track, but the rule would remain just the same unless revised. Mr. Brown means well by the rule, and it may pan out all right, but as it stands it has loopholes for profitable trickery which could be taken advantage of by unscrupulous horsemen, of which there are some, it is to be deplored, though it is pleasing to state they are decidedly in the minority.

One thing is certain, it is not likely the Havana method will be adopted for the distribution of surplus runup money. According to the Brown rule, seventy-five per cent. of the surplus will be equally divided among the owners of horses represented in the race in which the runup occurs. The remaining twenty-five per cent. will go to the second horse.

The rule does not look bad at first glance, but upon supposition and possibilities it has bad qualities. In the first place, it could encourage men sending horses to the post in every selling race for the express purpose of getting a share of any runup money. To get this share some irresponsible person might be employed by one or two of the unprincipled owners to boost all winners of races in which they had starters. The runup would only need to be sufficient to take away part of the purse or just enough to warrant the owner retaining the horse. It would be possible for a person with a string of poor horses to pick off \$50 or more each day with little expense by having some outsider boost the winner of a selling race. If the rule were amended so as to confine the bidding to owners who had horses in the race it would do much to eliminate any sharp practice that might arise. By such a rule there would be a much greater opportunity for retaliation than if anyone were permitted to bid on horses. A clique of horsemen could almost break up a race meeting under the rule as it stands. They could make it so annoying that it would not be worth while to win races if the purses or part of them were continuously taken away. Of course, Mr. Brown only quickly took note of such a thing and would, no doubt, take his club to the offenders and drum them out of the track, but the rule would remain just the same unless revised. Mr. Brown means well by the rule, and it may pan out all right, but as it stands it has loopholes for profitable trickery which could be taken advantage of by unscrupulous horsemen, of which there are some, it is to be deplored, though it is pleasing to state they are decidedly in the minority.

One thing is certain, it is not likely the Havana method will be adopted for the distribution of surplus runup money. According to the Brown rule, seventy-five per cent. of the surplus will be equally divided among the owners of horses represented in the race in which the runup occurs. The remaining twenty-five per cent. will go to the second horse.

The rule does not look bad at first glance, but upon supposition and possibilities it has bad qualities. In the first place, it could encourage men sending horses to the post in every selling race for the express purpose of getting a share of any runup money. To get this share some irresponsible person might be employed by one or two of the unprincipled owners to boost all winners of races in which they had starters. The runup would only need to be sufficient to take away part of the purse or just enough to warrant the owner retaining the horse. It would be possible for a person with a string of poor horses to pick off \$50 or more each day with little expense by having some outsider boost the winner of a selling race. If the rule were amended so as to confine the bidding to owners who had horses in the race it would do much to eliminate any sharp practice that might arise. By such a rule there would be a much greater opportunity for retaliation than if anyone were permitted to bid on horses. A clique of horsemen could almost break up a race meeting under the rule as it stands. They could make it so annoying that it would not be worth while to win races if the purses or part of them were continuously taken away. Of course, Mr. Brown only quickly took note of such a thing and would, no doubt, take his club to the offenders and drum them out of the track, but the rule would remain just the same unless revised. Mr. Brown means well by the rule, and it may pan out all right, but as it stands it has loopholes for profitable trickery which could be taken advantage of by unscrupulous horsemen, of which there are some, it is to be deplored, though it is pleasing to state they are decidedly in the minority.

One thing is certain, it is not likely the Havana method will be adopted for the distribution of surplus runup money. According to the Brown rule, seventy-five per cent. of the surplus will be equally divided among the owners of horses represented in the race in which the runup occurs. The remaining twenty-five per cent. will go to the second horse.

The rule does not look bad at first glance, but upon supposition and possibilities it has bad qualities. In the first place, it could encourage men sending horses to the post in every selling race for the express purpose of getting a share of any runup money. To get this share some irresponsible person might be employed by one or two of the unprincipled owners to boost all winners of races in which they had starters. The runup would only need to be sufficient to take away part of the purse or just enough to warrant the owner retaining the horse. It would be possible for a person with a string of poor horses to pick off \$50 or more each day with little expense by having some outsider boost the winner of a selling race. If the rule were amended so as to confine the bidding to owners who had horses in the race it would do much to eliminate any sharp practice that might arise. By such a rule there would be a much greater opportunity for retaliation than if anyone were permitted to bid on horses. A clique of horsemen could almost break up a race meeting under the rule as it stands. They could make it so annoying that it would not be worth while to win races if the purses or part of them were continuously taken away. Of course, Mr. Brown only quickly took note of such a thing and would, no doubt, take his club to the offenders and drum them out of the track, but the rule would remain just the same unless revised. Mr. Brown means well by the rule, and it may pan out all right, but as it stands it has loopholes for profitable trickery which could be taken advantage of by unscrupulous horsemen, of which there are some, it is to be deplored, though it is pleasing to state they are decidedly in the minority.

One thing is certain, it is not likely the Havana method will be adopted for the distribution of surplus runup money. According to the Brown rule, seventy-five per cent. of the surplus will be equally divided among the owners of horses represented in the race in which the runup occurs. The remaining twenty-five per cent. will go to the second horse.

The rule does not look bad at first glance, but upon supposition and possibilities it has bad qualities. In the first place, it could encourage men sending horses to the post in every selling race for the express purpose of getting a share of any runup money. To get this share some irresponsible person might be employed by one or two of the unprincipled owners to boost all winners of races in which they had starters. The runup would only need to be sufficient to take away part of the purse or just enough to warrant the owner retaining the horse. It would be possible for a person with a string of poor horses to pick off \$50 or more each day with little expense by having some outsider boost the winner of a selling race. If the rule were amended so as to confine the bidding to owners who had horses in the race it would do much to eliminate any sharp practice that might arise. By such a rule there would be a much greater opportunity for retaliation than if anyone were permitted to bid on horses. A clique of horsemen could almost break up a race meeting under the rule as it stands. They could make it so annoying that it would not be worth while to win races if the purses or part of them were continuously taken away. Of course, Mr. Brown only quickly took note of such a thing and would, no doubt, take his club to the offenders and drum them out of the track, but the rule would remain just the same unless revised. Mr. Brown means well by the rule, and it may pan out all right, but as it stands it has loopholes for profitable trickery which could be taken advantage of by unscrupulous horsemen, of which there are some, it is to be deplored, though it is pleasing to state they are decidedly in the minority.

One thing is certain, it is not likely the Havana method will be adopted for the distribution of surplus runup money. According to the Brown rule, seventy-five per cent. of the surplus will be equally divided among the owners of horses represented in the race in which the runup occurs. The remaining twenty-five per cent. will go to the second horse.

DAILY RACING FORM

PUBLISHED DAILY EXCEPT MONDAY.

DAILY RACING FORM PUBLISHING CO.

441 Plymouth Court, Chicago, Ill.

74 Exchange Street, Buffalo, N. Y.

Official Organ

Kentucky State Racing Commission.

Entered as second-class matter, April 2, 1896, at the post-office at Chicago, Illinois, under the Act of March 3, 1879.

NEW YORK CITY OFFICE: 501 FIFTH AVE.

General Representative, ED COLE.

All dealers supplied from this office.

Back numbers and monthlies supplied.

For sale at all hotels and news-stands.

SUBSCRIPTIONS MUST BE PAID IN ADVANCE.

Per Month \$1.50

Half Year 9.00

One Year 17.00

BACK NUMBERS 5 CENTS EACH.

If sent by mail (first-class only) six cents.

CHICAGO, ILLINOIS, NOVEMBER 20, 1915.

TELEGRAPHIC FORM.

The horses which seem best in Saturday's races are:

Prince George's Park—Bowie, Md., November 19.

1—Sempills, Lady London, Deduction.

2—Prairie, Encore, Gloaming.

3—Sprint, Daddy's Choice, King Neptune.

4—Harry Shaw, Eagle, Holiday.

5—Louise Travers, Kinnimond, Mabel Dulweber.

6—Ringling, Billie Baker, Baby Sister.

7—Republican, Sir William Johnson, Dartworth.

T. K. Lynch.

ANSWERS TO QUERIES.

B. J. S., Cincinnati, O. Saratoga track has chutes. The Maryland tracks are the usual mile ellipse.

H. S., Chicago. A wager on Briar Path alone, or on the Bedwill entry with Briar Path to go in the seventh race of November 12, would have been a draw.

Bowie Entries and Past Performances for Saturday, November 20.

WEATHER CLEAR. TRACK SLOW.

The figures under the heading "Rec." in the entries below show the best time of each horse at the distance, since January 1, 1911, no matter where it finished. In cases where record was made on other than a fast or good track, abbreviations show track conditions.

Racing starts at 1:45 p. m. (Chicago time, 12:45). \diamond Runs well in mud. \diamond Superior mud runner.

(M) maidens. *Apprentice allowance.

First Race—3-4 Mile. 3-year-olds and upward. Selling. (Track record: 23897—1:13—4—112.)

Ind. Horse. Wt. Rec. A.Wt.Han.

23892* Sempsills 112 1:12% 6 114/725

23740* Commissn. 103 1:13% 3 106/720

23867* Jim Basye 103 1:11% 6 106/720

23904* J. B. Harrell 103 1:14% 3 104/715

23904* Monty Fox 9141/13% 7 114/715

23922* Deduction 106 1:13% 6 108/715

23904 Minstrel 106 1:13% 3 103/715

23884* Lady London 110 1:12% 5 109/715

23873* Parlor Boy 110 1:12% 6 106/710

23844* Rubicon II. 115 1:12% 4 108/710

23904 Colors 96 1:13% 4 108/710

23922* Blaise 107 1:11% 6 109/705

23713* Babe 87 1:16 3 98/705

20639* Yellow Flower 102 1:18 3 106/690

23737* First Tromp 109 1:16% 5 103/690

23916* Baby Cole (M) 110 1:15% 3 106/675

Sempills is coming to himself. Commissn. would win if at her best.

Second Race—3-4 Mile. All Ages. Selling. (Track record: 23897—1:13—4—112.)

Ind. Horse. Wt. Rec. A.Wt.Han.

23916* Prairie 98 1:13 4 110/725

23919* Naushon 110 1:12% 7 115/720

23916* Encore 89 1:13 3 110/720

23922* Pay Streak 111 1:11% 7 105/715

23916* Gloaming 111 1:14 3 108/715

23738* Impressive 93 1:13 2 88/715

23862* Marjorie A. 100 1:12 7 105/715

23921* Between Us 112 1:13% 3 105/710

23916* Volant 106 1:13% 3 108/710

23843* Belamour 106 1:12% 5 115/705

23916* Chanteuse 106 1:17% 3 103/705

23878* Vignola 103 1:14% 3 108/680

Contenders appear evenly matched.

Third Race—7-8 Mile. Chesapeake Handicap. 2-year-olds. (Track record: 19838—1:27%—6—105.)

Ind. Horse. Wt. Rec. A.Wt.Han.

23916* Prairie 98 1:13 4 110/725

23919* Naushon 110 1:12% 7 115/720

23916* Encore 89 1:13 3 110/720

23922* Pay Streak 111 1:11% 7 105/715

23916* Gloaming 111 1:14 3 108/715

23738* Impressive 93 1:13 2 88/715

23862* Marjorie A. 100 1:12 7 105/715

23921* Between Us 112 1:13% 3 105/710

23916* Volant 106 1:13% 5 115/705

23843* Belamour 106 1:12% 3 108/705

23916* Chanteuse 106 1:17% 3 103/705

23878* Vignola 103 1:14% 3 108/680

King Neptune outclasses his opposition and should concede weight.

First Race—3-4 Mile. 3-year-olds and upward. Selling. (23897—1:13—4—112.)

Ind. Horse. Wt. Rec. A.Wt.Han.

23854 King Neptune 120 1:20% 725

23891 Sprint 112 1:20% 720

23909 Daddy's Choice 106 1:20% 720

23909 Candle 109 1:20% 720

23906 Tiajan 105 1:15% 715

23921* Bigotodo 101 710

23903 Black Coffee 100 1:20% 710

23860 Dancer 102 705

F. E. Brown entry.

King Neptune outclasses his opposition and should concede weight.

First Race—3-4 Mile. 3-year-olds and upward. Selling. (23897—1:13—4—112.)

Ind. Horse. Wt. Rec. A.Wt.Han.

23854 King Neptune 120 1:20% 725

23891 Sprint 112 1:20% 720

23909 Daddy's Choice 106 1:20% 720

23909 Candle 109 1:20% 720

23906 Tiajan 105 1:15% 715

23921* Bigotodo 101 710

23903 Black Coffee 100 1:20% 710

23860 Dancer 102 705

F. E. Brown entry.

King Neptune outclasses his opposition and should concede weight.

First Race—3-4 Mile. 3-year-olds and upward. Selling. (23897—1:13—4—112.)

Ind. Horse. Wt. Rec. A.Wt.Han.

23854 King Neptune 120 1:20% 725

23891 Sprint 112 1:20% 720

23909 Daddy's Choice 106 1:20% 720

23909 Candle 109 1:20% 720

23906 Tiajan 105 1:15% 715

23921* Bigotodo 101 710

23903 Black Coffee 100 1:20% 710

23860 Dancer 102 705

F. E. Brown entry.

King Neptune outclasses his opposition and should concede weight.

First Race—3-4 Mile. 3-year-olds and upward. Selling. (23897—1:13—4—112.)

Ind. Horse. Wt. Rec. A.Wt.Han.

23854 King Neptune 120 1:20% 725

23891 Sprint 112 1:20% 720

23909 Daddy's Choice 106 1:20% 720

23909 Candle 109 1:20% 720

23906 Tiajan 105 1:15% 715

23921* Bigotodo 101 710

23903 Black Coffee 100 1:20% 710

23860 Dancer 102 705

F. E. Brown entry.

King Neptune outclasses his opposition and should concede weight.

First Race—3-4 Mile. 3-year-olds and upward. Selling. (23897—1:13—4—112.)

Ind. Horse. Wt. Rec. A.Wt.Han.

23854 King Neptune 120 1:20% 725

23891 Sprint 112 1:20% 720

23909 Daddy's Choice 106 1:20% 720

23909 Candle 109 1:20% 720

23906 Tiajan 105 1:15% 715

23921* Bigotodo 101 710

23903 Black Coffee 100 1:20% 710

23860 Dancer 102 705

F. E. Brown entry.

King Neptune outclasses his opposition and should concede weight.

First Race—3-4 Mile. 3-year-olds and upward. Selling. (23897—1:13—4—112.)

Ind. Horse. Wt. Rec. A.Wt.Han.

23854 King Neptune 120 1:20% 725

23891 Sprint 112 1:20% 720

23909 Daddy's Choice 106 1:20% 720

23909 Candle 109 1:20% 720

23906 Tiajan 105 1:15% 715

23921* Bigotodo 101 710

23903 Black Coffee 100 1:20% 710

